

Michigan Shared Print Initiative Libraries Satisfaction and Participation Survey

Note: This version is for reference purposes only, allowing libraries to see all questions in advance (online survey shows questions one section at a time). Please complete the survey [online](#).

Section 1: General Information

The Michigan Shared Print Initiative (MI-SPI) dates back to 2011 when libraries at Michigan's publicly-supported universities sought to devise a collaborative approach to shared print collections among themselves. In 2019, the group expanded to include private institutions and became known as MI-SPI 2.0. During that year, it completed its most recent data refresh using OCLC's GreenGlass, resulting in a new set of retention commitments for participating libraries.

Since the 2019 refresh, MI-SPI 2.0 has explored Gold Rush as an alternative to GreenGlass (access to GreenGlass data ended in December 2023). The group has also considered the option of moving management and operational oversight from MCLS to the EAST Shared Print Initiative. This survey seeks library input on the future direction of MI-SPI 2.0. Results will be reviewed by the MI-SPI 2.0 Steering Committee and shared with the broader group in early Fall 2024.

The deadline for completing is August 23, 2024. One response per participating library. If you have any questions, please email services@mcls.org Thank you!

Email:

Name:

Institution:

Section 2: Satisfaction

Please rate the following statements on a scale of 1 to 5, where:

1=Strongly Disagree; 2= Disagree; 3=Neutral; 4=Agree; 5=Strongly Agree

- The shared print initiative has helped manage our library's physical space.
- The shared print initiative has improved access to resources for our library's patrons.
- Communication and collaboration among participating libraries are effective.
- The shared print initiative aligns well with my library's collection development goals.

- Overall, I am satisfied with my library's participation in the shared print initiative.

Section 3: Project Goals

The Project Goals for 2019/2020 (draft) are listed below: Please rate them on a scale of 1-5 where you consider them to be:

1=Not Started; 2=In Process; 3=Partially Achieved; 4=Achieved; 5=No Longer Relevant

- Expand Membership
- Enhance the Shared Print Retention Program in Michigan
- Develop a robust Governance Structure
- Collaborate with other Shared Print Programs (Indiana)
- Expand MI-SPI 2.0 Website
- Enhance connection with the Partnership for Shared Book Collections

Section 4: Governance, Participation, and Engagement

- How actively involved is your institution/library in decision-making processes related to the shared print initiative?
- Have you encountered any challenges or barriers in participating effectively in the shared print initiative? If yes, please elaborate.
- What additional resources or support do you think would enhance your institution/library's participation in MI-SPI 2.0?
- Is your library interested in a third data refresh round?
- Is your library interested in adopting Gold Rush for existing data migration?
- Is your library interested in adopting Gold Rush for future retention activities?
- Is your library interested in participating in the EAST shared print initiative?

Section 5: Management and Cost

Please rate the following statements on a scale of 1 to 5, where:

1=Strongly Disagree; 2= Disagree; 3=Neutral; 4=Agree; 5=Strongly Agree

- My library is satisfied with the current management structure of the shared print initiative.
- My library is satisfied with the collaboration and coordination among the stakeholders involved.
- My library is satisfied with the effectiveness of MI-SPI 2.0 communication channels.
- My library would be willing to pay a fee to MCLS to cover the cost of MI-SPI 2.0 administration.
- Are there any specific challenges you face in managing the shared print initiative? Please elaborate.
- What strategies do you believe could improve the efficiency of management processes within the shared print initiative?
- How frequently are cost assessments and budget reviews for MI-SPI 2.0 conducted at your library?
- Are there any cost-saving measures you believe could be implemented without compromising MI-SPI objectives?
- How do you perceive the affordability of MI-SPI 2.0 for participating institutions or organizations?
- What factors do you consider when evaluating the cost-effectiveness of the shared print initiative?

Section 6: Future Perspectives

- How do you envision MI-SPI 2.0 evolving in the future?
- Are there any specific areas where you believe improvements could be made to MI-SPI 2.0? If yes, please specify.

Section 7: Additional Feedback

- Please share any additional comments, suggestions, or feedback you have regarding MI-SPI, Gold Rush, or EAST